



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SL  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/484,123	01/13/2000	David I. J. Glen	0100.9900210	9307
23418	7590	05/26/2004	EXAMINER	
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ 222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601			AMINI, JAVID A	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2672		11
DATE MAILED: 05/26/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/484,123	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GLEN, DAVID I. J.
	<b>Examiner</b> Javid A Amini	<b>Art Unit</b> 2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 March 2004.

2a)  This action is **FINAL**.                            2b)  This action is non-final.

3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6)  Claim(s) 1-23 and 25-33 is/are rejected.

7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.  
\_\_\_\_\_

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 04, 2004 has been entered.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-21, 23, 25-33 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maclnnis et al. (hereinafter refers as a Maclnnis).

1.     Claim 1.

As for claim 1, “A video graphics module comprises: a plurality of video graphics pipelines, wherein each of the plurality of video graphics pipelines is operable to process a corresponding image layer and wherein one of the plurality of video graphics pipelines processes a foremost image layer and the video graphics pipelines processing the corresponding image layers in parallel; and a blending module operably coupled to the plurality of video graphics pipelines, wherein the blending module blends, in accordance with a blending convention, the corresponding image layers in a predetermined blending order to produce an output image

having the foremost image layer blended in a foremost position with respect to the other corresponding image layers with negligible loss of information of the other corresponding image layers and”, Maclnnis in fig. 3 illustrates a plurality of video graphics pipelines (analog and digital video in, and pass through video that shown clearly in fig. 4). Maclnnis in col. 45 lines 7-12 discloses that two or more of the upper layers may be blended together in parallel. Maclnnis in col. 13, lines 45-54 discloses that a graphics window with a window layer parameter of 0000b is defined as the bottom most layer, and a graphics window with a window layer parameter of 1111b is defined as the top most layer. Maclnnis in col. 44, lines 31-35 discloses that In general, during blending of different layers of graphics and/or video, every layer [L1, L2, L3 . . . Ln], where L1 is the back-most layer, each layer is blended with the composition of all of the layers behind it, beginning with L2 being blended on top of L1.

2. Claims 2, 4, 13, 15.

Maclnnis in fig. 5 step 140 illustrates the logical and alpha blender.

3. Claims 3 and 14.

Claims 3 and 14 “wherein the alpha blending further comprises a specified per pixel alpha value or a global alpha value, wherein the alpha blending is performed using one of a plurality of pixel depths”, Maclnnis in col. 5, lines 2-5 discloses image pixel format, pixel color type, alpha blend factor, location on the screen, address in memory, depth order on the screen, or other parameters. The system preferably supports a wide variety of pixel formats, including RGB 16, RGB 15, YUV 4:2:2 (ITU-R 601), CLUT2, CLUT4, CLUT8 or others. In addition to each window having its own alpha blend factor, each pixel in the preferred embodiment has its own alpha value. (Definition of alpha blending is: it combines a transparent source color with a

translucent destination color.) Maclnnis in col. 11 lines 9-20 discloses that often in the creation of graphics displays, the artist or application developer has a need to include rectangular objects on the screen, with the objects having a solid color and a uniform alpha blend factor (alpha value). These regions (or objects) may be rendered with other displayed objects on top of them or beneath them. In conventional graphics devices, such solid color objects are rendered using the number of distinct pixels required to fill the region.

4. Claims 5 and 16.

The rejection of claim 1 covers the limitation for claims 5 and 16.

5. Claim 6.

As for claim 6, “The video graphics module of claim 1, wherein the blending module further comprises a first mixing module and a second mixing module, wherein the first mixing module blends at least two of the corresponding image layers to produce an intermediate blended image, and wherein the second mixing module blends the foremost image layer with the intermediate blended image”, Maclnnis in fig. 2 illustrates mixing modules number 52 (considered as a first mixing module as applicant claimed in the present invention). The video scaler mixing digital, analog and bypass video in, and also outputted to video compositor number 60 (considered as a second mixing module as applicant claimed in the present invention). In fig. 2 a line labeled with “pass through” connecting the three signals from mux (multiplexer) into box 60.

6. Claims 7-8

See rejection of claims 1-3.

7. Claim 9

MacInnis in col. 5, lines 2-5 discloses image pixel format, pixel color type, alpha blend factor, location on the screen, address in memory, depth order on the screen, or other parameters. The system preferably supports a wide variety of pixel formats, including RGB 16, RGB 15, YUV 4:2:2 (ITU-R 601), CLUT2, CLUT4, CLUT8 or others. In addition to each window having its own alpha blend factor, each pixel in the preferred embodiment has its own alpha value.

8. Claim 10.

MacInnis in col. 32 lines 17-29 discloses that The various foreground colors are processed using a low-pass filter as described earlier, and the outline of the entire graphical element (including all colors other than the background) is separately filtered also using a low pass filter as described. The filtered foreground color is used as either the direct color value in, e.g., an alphaRGB format (or other color space, such as alphaYUV) or as the color choice in a CLUT format, and the result of filtering the outline is used as the alpha per pixel value in either a direct color format such as alpha RGB or as the choice of alpha value per CLUT entry in a CLUT format.

9. Claim 11.

See rejection of claim 9, and MacInnis in col. 24 lines 49-52 discloses that For every CLUT-format pixel converted, the pixel data may be used as the address to the CLUT and the resulting value may be used by the converter to produce the YUVa (or alternatively RGBa) pixel value.

10. Claim 12.

As for claim 12, “A video graphics module comprises: a video graphics pipeline module operable to process at least one image layer from a plurality of video graphics pipelines, wherein the video graphics pipelines process image layers in parallel; a hardware cursor pipeline operable to process a cursor image; and a blending circuit operably coupled to the video graphics pipeline

and the hardware cursor pipeline, wherein the blending module blends, in accordance with a blending convention, the at least one image layer and the cursor image to produce an output image having the cursor image alpha blended with the at least one corresponding image layer", See rejection of claim 1.

11. Claims 17 and 19-20.

Claims 17 and 19-20, Maclnnis in fig. 2 illustrates mixing modules number 52 (considered as a first mixing module as applicant claimed in the present invention). The video scaler mixing digital, analog and bypass video in, and also outputted to video compositor number 60 (considered as a second mixing module as applicant claimed in the present invention). In fig. 2 a line labeled with "pass through" connecting the three signals from mux (multiplexer) into box 60.

12. Claim 18.

Maclnnis in cols. 4 and 5 lines 62-67; 1-9 discloses that Graphics windows are preferably characterized by window descriptors. Window descriptors are data structures that describe one or more parameters of the graphics window. Window descriptors may include, for example, image pixel format, pixel color type, alpha blend factor, location on the screen, address in memory, depth order on the screen, or other parameters. The system preferably supports a wide variety of pixel formats, including RGB 16, RGB 15, YUV 4:2:2 (ITU-R 601), CLUT2, CLUT4, CLUT8 or others. In addition to each window having its own alpha blend factor, each pixel in the preferred embodiment has its own alpha value. In the preferred embodiment, window descriptors are not used for video windows. Instead, parameters for video windows, such as

memory start address and window size are stored in registers associated with the video compositor.

13. Claim 21

MacInnis in fig. 5 box with dashed line labeled number 58, and also shown clearly in fig. 10 the RGB color and the YUV color.

14. Claim 23.

MacInnis in col. 10 lines 4-11 discloses that analog video or MPEG video may be provided to the video compositor as pass through video. Alternatively, either type of video may be captured into memory and provided to the video compositor as a scaled video window. The digitized analog video signals preferably have a pixel sample rate of 13.5 MHz, contain a 16 bit data stream in YUV 4:2:2 format, and include timing signals such as top field and vertical sync signals.

15. Claim 24.

Cancelled

16. Claim 25.

As for claim 25 “The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the memory further comprises operational instructions that cause the processing module to, when the alpha blending mode indicates using the global alpha blending value, retrieve at least one global alpha value from a general alpha register”, MacInnis in cols. 4 and 5 lines 62-67; 1-9 discloses that Graphics windows are preferably characterized by window descriptors. Window descriptors are data structures that describe one or more parameters of the graphics window. Window descriptors may include, for example, image pixel format, pixel color type, alpha blend factor, location on the screen, address in memory, depth order on the screen, or other parameters. The system preferably

supports a wide variety of pixel formats, including RGB 16, RGB 15, YUV 4:2:2 (ITU-R 601), CLUT2, CLUT4, CLUT8 or others. In addition to each window having its own alpha blend factor, each pixel in the preferred embodiment has its own alpha value. In the preferred embodiment, window descriptors are not used for video windows. Instead, parameters for video windows, such as memory start address and window size are stored in registers associated with the video compositor.

17. Claim 26.

As for claim 26, “The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the memory further comprises operational instructions that cause the processing module to, when the alpha blending mode indicates using a per pixel alpha blending value, retrieve at least one corresponding per pixel alpha blending value from an image layer input”, MacInnis col. 5 lines 3-9 discloses that in addition to each window having its own alpha blend factor, each pixel in the preferred embodiment has its own alpha value. In the preferred embodiment, window descriptors are not used for video windows. Instead, parameters for video windows, such as memory start address and window size are stored in registers associated with the video compositor.

18. Claim 27.

As for claim 27, “The apparatus of claim 33, wherein the memory further comprises operational instructions that cause the processing module to, when the alpha blending mode indicates using the key alpha blending value, retrieve an alpha key indication from a keyer, wherein the keyer generates the alpha key indication from at least one corresponding per pixel alpha value associated with an image layer input”, MacInnis in col. 5 lines 3-9 discloses that each pixel in the preferred embodiment has its own alpha value.

19. Claim 28.

As for claim 28, “A video graphics data blending circuit comprises: a first input for receiving a first image layer from a first video graphics pipeline; a second input for receiving a second image layer in parallel with the first image layer from a second video graphics pipeline; a blending module operably coupled to blend the first and second image layers based on an alpha calculation using a specified alpha value; and an alpha value calculation module operably coupled to the blending module, wherein the alpha value calculation module generates the specified alpha valued based on at least one of: a global alpha value, a per pixel value associated with at least one of the first and second image layers, and a non-alpha blend mode”, The combination of the rejection of claims 33, 25-27 covers the limitation of claim 28.

20. Claim 29.

As for claim 29, “The video graphics data blending circuit of claim 28, wherein the alpha value calculation module further comprises firmware that, for the non-alpha blend mode, detects a color key in at least one of the first and second image layers to produce a color key result, and generates the specified alpha value as a fully transparent value or a fully opaque value based on the color key result”, Maclnnis in col. 14 lines 1-6 discloses that the alpha type of 00b indicates that the alpha value is to be selected from chroma keying. Chroma keying determines whether each pixel is opaque or transparent based on the color of the pixel.

21. Claim 30.

As for claim 30, “The video graphics data blending circuit of claim 28, wherein the blending module further comprises firmware for performing the blending of the first and second image layers using a premultiplied alpha blending process or a non-premultiplied alpha blending

process", Maclnnis in fig. 28 a flow diagram of a process of blending video and graphics surfaces is illustrated. The graphics display system resets in step 902. In step 904, the video compositor blends the pass through video and the background color with the scaled video window, using the alpha value, which is associated with the scaled video window. The result of this blending operation is then blended with the output of the graphics display pipeline. The graphics output has been pre-blended in the graphics blender in step 904 and filtered in step 906, and blended graphics contain the correct alpha value for multiplication by the video output. The output of the video blend function is multiplied by the video alpha, which is obtained from the graphics pipeline, and the resulting video and graphics pixel data stream are added together to produce the final blended result .

22. Claim 31.

As for claim 31, "The video graphics data blending circuit of claim 28 further comprises a first multiplexer operably coupled to the first input and a second multiplexer operably coupled to the second input, wherein the first multiplexer is operably coupled to receive a plurality of image layers and to output the first image layer and the second multiplexer is operably coupled to receive the plurality of image layers and output the second image layer", Maclnnis in fig. 5 illustrates a plurality of multiplexer MUX 162, MUX 168, MUX 176 and MUX 188.

23. Claim 32.

As for claim 32, "The video graphics data blending circuit of claim 31, wherein the alpha value calculation module further comprises firmware that provides control information to the first and second multiplexers such that the first multiplexer outputs the first image layer and the second

multiplexer outputs the second image layer”, MacInnis in fig. 5 illustrates the limitation of outputting image layers.

24. Claim 33.

“An apparatus for determining an alpha calculation mode, the apparatus comprises: a blending module operative to: receive a first input for receiving a first image layer from a first video graphics pipeline; and receive a second input for receiving a second image layer in parallel with the first image layer from a second video graphics pipeline; a processing module; and memory operably coupled to the processing module, wherein the memory stores operational instructions that cause the processing module to; “(a) determine an alpha blending mode from a plurality of modes, wherein each of the plurality of modes corresponds to at least one of utilizing a per pixel alpha blending value, utilizing a global alpha blending value, and utilizing a key alpha blending value”; “(b) obtain blending information based on the alpha blending mode”; “(c) generate a corresponding blending value based on the blending information”; “(d) provide the corresponding blending value to the blending module”. See rejection of claim 1.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. First and second cursor images critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Claim 22 depends to claim 21. The claim language in

claim 21 and in claim 12 covers “the cursor image”, but in claim 22 claimed first and second cursor images. Applicant should clarify and distinguish between first and second cursor images.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javid A Amini whose telephone number is 703-605-4248. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on 703-305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Javid A Amini  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2672

Javid Amini

  
JEFFERY BRIER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER